UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		The state of the s		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,532	09/22/2003	Sean M. Megley	16190-002001	9933
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 11/26/2008 ARDSON PC		EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 102	2		MESFIN,	YEMANE
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary (For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	10/667,532	MEGLEY, SEAN M.	
(1 of ase in the first follow interview i not i regian)	Examiner	Art Unit	Page 1 of 2
	Yemane Mesfin	2444	1 age 1 of 2
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE This time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.13	OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  R 1.136(a) for only ONE addition		
☑ Applicant's request to not have a first-action interview	is acknowledged.		
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	e <u>ptember 2008</u> and interview con	ducted on 29 Se	ptember 2008.
2) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
3) Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13, 15-18 and 20-24</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.		
3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13, 15-18 and 20-24</u> is/are reject	cted.		
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			-
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
8) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.		
Contact Information  Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-3927			
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: 9:30 AM -7:0	0 PM		
Supervisor's Name: William Vaughn			
Supervisor's Telephone Number: 572-272-3922			•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Noterview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			10667532	MEGLEY, SEA	MEGLEY, SEAN M.		
			Examiner	Art Unit			
			Yemane Mesfin	2444	Page 2 of 2		
			Notifica	tion of F	Rejection(s) and/or Objection	n(s)	
#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection			
1	1-10, 13, 15-18 and 20-24	Visser, Lineman	103 (a)	As per claims 1-10, 13, 15-18 and 20-24 (see Visser Abstract, Fig. 1A, Figs. 2A-2D and Page 2, ¶¶0021-0024, Visser teaches a computer based compliance management system, performing automated rule compliance method/system by associating organizational (see continuation below)			
							•
	,	-					
			J.,				
•			E	xpanded	d Discussion/Commentary		
·.	elements of an enterprise with different rules and regulations and computing a computer based compliance of each enterprise element and						

Expanded discussions commentary				
1	elements of an enterprise with different rules and regulations and computing a computer based compliance of each enterprise element and rendering the compliance results of each element in an enterprise to a responsible party (i.e., management officer). Visser, however, was silent about the result rendered being in a form of compliance scores and displaying such numerical compliance scores. However, as evidenced by the teachings of Lineman, calculating a numerical compliance score and displaying the compliance scores (see continuation below)			
1	in a graphical interface of a management officer was known in the art at the tine the invention was made (see Lineman, Fig. 11D showing a chart on a graphical interface, Figs. 13A-13C, Page 8, ¶0081, and Page 9, ¶¶0086-0087). Thus, it would have been obvious to have modified the teachings of Visser to have specific numerical scores in order to facilitate the rule compliance management by indivudually analyzing individual element's actual compliance range to the organizations specific rules and regulations.			
DATE: 11/23/2	2008	/Yemane Mesfin/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413FA (Rev. 09-07)

First Action Interview Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date